The Economics of European Regions: Theory, Empirics, and Policy

Dipartimento di Economia e Management

Davide Fiaschi Angela Parenti[1]

September 26, 2019

[1] davide.fiaschi@unipi.it and angela.parenti@unipi.it.

Econometric model of convergence

Hypothesis of absolute convergence with linear model: $\beta < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = intercept + \beta \log\left(Y/L_{i,1991}\right) + \epsilon_i \tag{1}$$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat.	P-value
(Intercept)	0.0148	0.0007	22.01	0.0000
β	-0.0141	0.0009	-16.17	0.0000
	Res.se=0.01044 (255) DF			
	R-squared=0.5063, Adj.R-squared=0.5044			
	F-stat.=261.5 (1,255) DF, p-value=$< 2e^{-16}$			

Econometric model of convergence (cont.d)

Hypothesis of absolute convergence with a nonparametric model: $\phi' < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = intercept + \phi\left(\log\left(Y/L_{i,1991}\right)\right) + \epsilon_i \tag{2}$$

Parametric coeff.:	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat	P-value
(Intercept)	0.0175179	0.0006103	28.7	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
Smooth terms:	edf	Ref.df	F	p-value
$\phi(.)$	8.722	8.978	37.97	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
	R-sq.(adj)=0.565; Dev.expl.=58%			
	GCV=$9.948e^{-05}$; Scale est.=$9.5717e^{-05}$; n=257			



Figura: Absolute convergence in the GDP per worker. Parametric and nonparametric regression

Conditional convergence

Hypothesis of conditional convergence with linear model: $\beta_0 < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = intercept + \beta_0 \log\left(Y/L_{i,1991}\right) + \beta_1 \bar{s} + \beta_2 \bar{n} + \beta_3 \bar{h} + \epsilon_i \qquad (3)$$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat.	P-value
(Intercept)	-0.0929	0.0123	-7.53	0.0000
β_0	-0.0154	0.0011	-14.57	0.0000
β_1	0.0027	0.0029	0.93	0.3532
β_2	-0.0146	0.0034	-4.31	0.0000
β_3	0.0204	0.0024	8.57	0.0000
	Res.s.e. $=$ 0.008956 (255) DF			
	R-squared=0.6411, Adj.R-squared=0.6354			
	F-stat.=112.6 (1,255) DF, p-value=$< 2e^{-16}$			

Conditional convergence

Hypothesis of conditional convergence with a nonparametric model: $\phi_0' < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = intercept + \phi_0\left(\log\left(Y/L_{i,1991}\right)\right) + \phi_1\left(\bar{s}\right) + \phi_2\left(\bar{n}\right) + \phi_3\left(\bar{h}\right) + \epsilon_i \quad (4)$$

Parametric coeff.:	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat	P-value
(Intercept)	0.0175179	0.0004611	37.99	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
Smooth terms:	edf	Ref.df	F	p-value
$\phi_0(.)$	8.641	8.963	39.175	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
$\phi_1(.)$	5.392	6.582	1.722	0.109
$\phi_2(.)$	8.595	8.95	5.644	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
$\phi_3(.)$	1.235	1.434	80	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
	R-sq.(adj)=0.752; Dev.expl.=77.5%			
	GCV=$6.0497e^{-05}$; Scale est.=$5.4645e^{-05}$; n=257			



Figura: Estimate of generalized additive model.

Another type of convergence ... σ-convergence

Variance of the log of the income per worker

$$\sigma_t^2 = \frac{\sum_i^N \left[\log\left(Y/L_{i,t}\right) - \mu_t\right]^2}{N} \tag{5}$$

Mean of the log of the income per worker

$$\mu_t = \frac{\sum_i^N \log\left(Y/L_{i,t}\right)}{N} \tag{6}$$

Then:

$$\sigma_t = intercept + \gamma t + \eta_t \tag{7}$$

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)	19.2588	0.7099	27.13	0.0000
γ	-0.0093	0.0004	-26.18	0.0000

Residual s.e.: 0.007814 on 16 d.f.

R^2 : 0.98, Adj. R^2 : 0.98

F-stat.: 685.2 on 1 and 16 DF, p-value: 1.458e-14

σ-convergence (con.d)



Figura: σ-convergence in the log of GDP per worker of 256 European regions.

σ-convergence (con.d)

But suppose that there income follows (with $b \in (0,1)$ to ensure convergence)

$$\log(Y/L_{i,t}) = a + (1-b) + \log(Y/L_{i,t-1}) + u_{i,t} \tag{8}$$

from which:

$$\sigma_t^2 = (1-b)^2 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \sigma_u^2 \tag{9}$$

and therefore for $t \to \infty$:

$$\sigma_\infty^2 = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{1-(1-b)^2} \tag{10}$$

$\Rightarrow$ variance can decrease or increase according to the relationship between σ_t^2 and σ_∞^2 even though there is absolute convergence.
Galton fallacy: absolute convergence does not imply decreasing variance of distribution

Distribution Dynamics



Figura: Estimated distributions of (relative) GDP per worker in 1991 and 2008 in 254 NUTS-2 European regions.