

The Economics of European Regions: Theory, Empirics, and Policy

Dipartimento di Economia e Management

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Project funded by
European Commission Erasmus + Programme –Jean Monnet Action
Project number 553280-EPP-1-2015-1-IT-EPP/JO-MODULE

Davide Fiaschi Angela Parenti¹

1st of October, 2019

¹davide.fiaschi@unipi.it, and angela.parenti@unipi.it.

Econometric model of convergence

Hypothesis of absolute convergence with linear model: $\beta < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = \text{intercept} + \beta \log(Y/L_{i,1991}) + \epsilon_i \quad (1)$$

	Dependent variable: c(averageGrowthRateSingleRegions)
LogGDPpwRel_initialYear	-0.014*** (0.001)
Constant	0.015*** (0.001)
Observations	257
R ²	0.506
Adjusted R ²	0.504
Residual Std. Error	0.010 (df = 255)
F Statistic	261.512*** (df = 1; 255)

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Econometric model of convergence (cont.d)

Hypothesis of absolute convergence with a nonparametric model: $s' < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = \text{intercept} + s(\log(Y/L_{i,1991})) + \epsilon_i \quad (2)$$

Parametric coeff.:	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat	P-value
(Intercept)	0.0175179	0.0006103	28.7	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
Smooth terms:	edf	Ref.df	F	p-value
s(LogGDPpwRel_initialYear)	8.722	8.978	37.97	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
R-sq.(adj)=0.565; Dev.expl.=58% GCV= $9.948e^{-05}$; Scale est.= $9.5717e^{-05}$; n=257				




Figura: Absolute convergence in the GDP per worker. Parametric and nonparametric regression

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models (GAMs)

A generalize additive model is a generalized linear model with a linear predictor involving a smooth function of the covariate:

$$y_i = s(x_i) + \epsilon_i \quad (3)$$

where y_i is the response variable, x_i the covariate, $s(\cdot)$ a smooth function and ϵ_i are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models (GAMs)

A generalize additive model is a generalized linear model with a linear predictor involving a smooth function of the covariate:

$$y_i = s(x_i) + \epsilon_i \quad (3)$$

where y_i is the response variable, x_i the covariate, $s(\cdot)$ a smooth function and ϵ_i are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

To estimate $s(\cdot)$, let represent it in such a way that Eq. (3) becomes a linear model by choosing a *basis* function:

$$s(x) = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j(x) \beta_j \quad (4)$$

for some values of the unknown parameters.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models (GAMs)

A generalize additive model is a generalized linear model with a linear predictor involving a smooth function of the covariate:

$$y_i = s(x_i) + \epsilon_i \quad (3)$$

where y_i is the response variable, x_i the covariate, $s(\cdot)$ a smooth function and ϵ_i are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$.

To estimate $s(\cdot)$, let represent it in such a way that Eq. (3) becomes a linear model by choosing a *basis* function:

$$s(x) = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j(x) \beta_j \quad (4)$$

for some values of the unknown parameters.

For example, consider a 4th order polynomial where

$b_1(x) = 1$, $b_2(x) = x$, $b_3(x) = x^2$, $b_4(x) = x^3$, $b_5(x) = x^4$, so that Eq.(4) becomes:

$$s(x) = \beta_1 + x\beta_2 + x^2\beta_3 + x^3\beta_4 + x^4\beta_5. \quad (5)$$


Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Polynomial bases have some problems in estimating on the whole domain of x . Alternatively, the **spline** bases perform well.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Polynomial bases have some problems in estimating on the whole domain of x . Alternatively, the **spline** bases perform well.

A cubic spline is a curve, made up of sections of cubic polynomial, joined together so that they are continuous in value as well as first and second derivatives. The points at which the sections join are known as the **knots** of the spline



Knots must be chosen!

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Given knot location, how do we choose the **degree of smoothing** (i.e. the basis dimension)?

One way, is to keep the basis dimension fixed, at a size a little larger than it is believed could reasonably be necessary, but to control the models smoothness by adding a wiggleness penalty to the least squares fitting objective.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Given knot location, how do we choose the **degree of smoothing** (i.e. the basis dimension)?

One way, is to keep the basis dimension fixed, at a size a little larger than it is believed could reasonably be necessary, but to control the models smoothness by adding a wiggleness penalty to the least squares fitting objective.

For example, rather than fitting the model by minimizing:

$$\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 \quad (6)$$

it could be fit by minimizing,

$$\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 + \lambda \int [s''(x)]^2 dx \quad (7)$$

where the integrated square of second derivative penalizes models that are too wiggly.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Given knot location, how do we choose the **degree of smoothing** (i.e. the basis dimension)?

One way, is to keep the basis dimension fixed, at a size a little larger than it is believed could reasonably be necessary, but to control the models smoothness by adding a wiggleness penalty to the least squares fitting objective.

For example, rather than fitting the model by minimizing:

$$\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 \quad (6)$$

it could be fit by minimizing,

$$\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 + \lambda \int [s''(x)]^2 dx \quad (7)$$

where the integrated square of second derivative penalizes models that are too wiggly. The trade off between model fit and model smoothness is controlled by the *smoothing parameter*, λ . $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ leads to a straight line estimate for s , while $\lambda = 0$ results in an un-penalized regression spline estimate.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Given that s is linear in parameters β the penalty can be written as a quadratic form:

$$\lambda \int [s''(x)] dx = \beta' \mathbf{S} \beta \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{S} is a matrix of known coefficients.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

Given that s is linear in parameters β the penalty can be written as a quadratic form:

$$\lambda \int [s''(x)]dx = \beta' \mathbf{S} \beta \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{S} is a matrix of known coefficients.

Therefore the penalized regression spline problem is to minimize:

$$\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 + \lambda \beta' \mathbf{S} \beta \quad (9)$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{S}')^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \quad (10)$$

λ must be chosen!! Too high λ leads to over-smoothing while too low λ to under-smoothing \rightarrow generalized cross-validation.

Some Remarks on Generalize Additive Models: Cont.

When we have an additive model with more than one covariate as for example:

$$y_i = s_1(x_i) + s_2(z_i) + \epsilon_i \quad (11)$$

the parameters β are obtained by minimization of the penalized least squares objective:

$$\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta \|^2 + \lambda_1 \beta' \mathbf{S}_1 \beta + \lambda_2 \beta' \mathbf{S}_2 \beta \quad (12)$$

Conditional convergence

Hypothesis of conditional convergence with linear model: $\beta_0 < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = \text{intercept} + \beta_0 \log(Y/L_{i,1991}) + \beta_1 \bar{s} + \beta_2 \bar{n} + \beta_3 \bar{h} + \epsilon_i \quad (13)$$

	Dependent variable: c(averageGrowthRateSingleRegions)
LogGDPpwRel_initialYear	-0.015*** (0.001)
log(mean_invRate)	0.003 (0.003)
log(mean_empGR_augm)	-0.015*** (0.003)
log(mean_hc_index)	0.020*** (0.002)
Constant	-0.093*** (0.012)
Observations	257
R ²	0.641
Adjusted R ²	0.635
Residual Std. Error	0.009 (df = 252)
F Statistic	112.557*** (df = 4; 252)
Note:	* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01

Conditional convergence

Hypothesis of conditional convergence with a nonparametric model: $s'_0 < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = \text{intercept} + s_0 (\log(Y/L_{i,1991})) + s_1(\bar{s}) + s_2(\bar{n}) + s_3(\bar{h}) + \epsilon_i \quad (14)$$

Parametric coeff.:	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat	P-value
(Intercept)	0.0175179	0.0004611	37.99	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
Smooth terms:	edf	Ref.df	F	p-value
s(LogGDPpwRel_initialYear)	8.641	8.963	39.175	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
s(log(mean_invRate))	5.392	6.582	1.722	0.109
s(log(mean_empGR_augm))	8.595	8.95	5.644	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
s(log(mean_hc_index))	1.235	1.434	80	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
R-sq.(adj)=0.752; Dev.expl.=77.5% GCV=6.0497e ⁻⁰⁵ ; Scale est.=5.4645e ⁻⁰⁵ ; n=257				




Figura: Estimate of generalized additive model.

Conditional convergence robust to endogeneity in \bar{s}

Hypothesis of conditional convergence with a nonparametric model: $s'_0 < 0$

$$\overline{g_{Y/L}} = \text{intercept} + s_0 (\log(Y/L_{i,1991})) + s_1(\bar{s}) + s_2(\bar{n}) + s_3(\bar{h}) + \epsilon_i \quad (15)$$

Parametric coeff.:	Estimate	Std. Error	t-Stat	P-value
(Intercept)	0.0175179	0.0004611	37.99	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
residuals_firstStage	0.0077486	0.0062936	1.231	0.219
Smooth terms:	edf	Ref.df	F	p-value
s(LogGDPpwRel_initialYear)	8.643	8.964	38.753	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
s(log(mean_invRate))	5.140	6.311	1.857	0.09 *
s(log(mean_empGR_augm))	8.576	8.945	5.380	$1.12e^{-06}$ ***
s(log(mean_hc_index))	1.198	1.370	82.163	$< 2e^{-16}$ ***
R-sq.(adj)=0.752; Dev.expl.=77.5%				
GCV=6.0682e ⁻⁰⁵ ; Scale est.=5.4648e ⁻⁰⁵ ; n=257				




Figura: Estimate of generalized additive model.